

From Our Readers

A Publication of Residents Voice, Laguna Woods Village, CA.

June 2008

Letter to the Editor

I attended the GRF Security and Community Access Meeting chaired by GRF director Irwin Stuller. Every resident that wanted to speak was given a card to submit their question to the chair before speaking. This was a surprise request by the Chairman and several residents objected to the request and wanted to ask their questions as they had done in the past. This resulted in considerable chaos between the residents and Stuller, who appeared to be incapable of conducting an informative, respectful and proper meeting. It seemed that if he did not like your tone or subject matter, he told you to step away from the microphone and cut you off.

In one of Mr. Stuller's diatribes with some residents, he was asked about calling Director Stanley Feldstein a "pompous ass", Stuller responded that he felt bad about that! Mr. Stuller do you feel bad enough to publicly apologize to Mr. Feldstein? You certainly owe him and the entire community an apology for your inexcusable behavior, you should be ashamed of yourself!

The subject most residents were interested in was the proposed Denial of Access, to all resident owners to the second floor of *our* Administration Building. The Security committee and several senior PCM employees stated the reason for this action is to protect PCM employees and directors from residents that have accosted them physically and verbally. There were further accusations by PCM staff and directors of residents pilfering through desk drawers and attempting to look at (PCM/OUR?) information. If PCM is so concerned about information falling into the wrong hands, what could they possibly be hiding? What are they afraid of? What is it that we might find out? My question is this, if residents are allowed by law to have access to information involving our community, why doesn't PCM produce information when requested by a resident? There is a long history of non-compliance and non-cooperation by PCM, and this has been the problem and continues to be.

PCM is of the opinion they need immediate protection from residents because they fear for their safety, and of course the majority of directors agree! If we have problematic residents, deal with them, but deal with them on individual basis! Do not use them as an excuse to deny all residents access to OUR BUILDING. It may well be

that its the owner residents that need protection from PCM and GRF, from their tactics of threats and intimidation!

I was amazed at the number of past and present directors and PCM staff that came forward with accusations of attacks and abuse. I find it questionable that these stories are not being brought to light! Why were they not reported when the supposedly happened; according to PCM staff and certain director's (past and present) these alleged attacks are of epidemic proportions. The only information missing from these malicious accusations are names, date, times and incidents. I can only surmise that If PCM and GRF make these accusations, they must be true because we all know they would not mislead us!

Pam Grundke

Warn your heirs

Recently a Resident Entered Into Rest and her two Sons were preparing her Manor for sale. When she bought the Manor, it was inspected by PCM and found to be okay, except a fountain in the atrium which was removed. The Sons sold it "as is" and cut the price to move it. The new buyer was pleased with the Manor. NOW when PCM inspected the Manor, they found several items that needed to be removed!! I found this odd, as it was okay when she bought it, but now 3 or 4 years later, needed extensive work! Rather than have PCM do the work they completed the items themselves. Now the unit is undergoing extensive remodeling.

The above is interesting as when Residents sold her Manor prior to buying this one, she was being charged for a chip in the bathtub (\$95.00). When she found paperwork showing the previous owner was charged \$55.00 for the same work, they changed the charge to zero (0). Again interesting.

Let your Heirs know they need to be aggressive during the inspection process. The Mutual can not change the rules whenever they feel like. How about a little consistency!

Concerned Resident
Stu Venable, Inmate 349-C