

Rights (continued from page 3)

that are listed on an agenda during regularly scheduled meetings.” (3) I have underlined and emphasized the the word “consider” because that is a key word. Senator Aanestad further points out his intention with this bill with the following statement which is also found on the same website, “The Aanestad legislation does not prohibit residents and members of CIDs from speaking on issues that are not on the agenda, however, it will prevent the board of directors from taking action on those matters until the following meeting so other property owners can be properly notified.” (4) This quote from the author of Senate Bill 528 seems quite clear that one can speak on an issue, but no action can be taken without proper notice to the residents.

(1) Public Law Research Institute, University of California Hastings College of the Law

(2) Senate bill 528

(3) Senator Aanestad website, news release September 26, 2007

(4) Ibid

United (continued from page 1)

Ask United Directors to authorize funds to employ the services of several CPAs to come into our community to conduct a study of the way United is running its business, and of United’s business relationship to PCM. Ask these CPAs to make recommendations on how United might improve the way it does business. If the study is to be effective the CPAs must be completely unbiased and independent of any influence from internal community entities.

Should residents of United pay PCM \$302,000 per month to manage their money? Investing a few thousand dollars now to find out could save millions of dollars for United residents down the road. It’s a win for residents and a win for United Directors. Let’s do it! It’s an idea whose time has come.

“As we stand today, I continue to be unable to offer any further light on San Sebastian’s status”, so warned John Atherton, VP Construction, Standard Pacific Homes, in an E-Mail to City Manager Leslie Keane. United Residents are alarmed by rumors that Standard Pacific is going belly up or selling its assets to another company, leaving the fate of the San Sebastian uncertain. The LATimes reported May 13 that Standard Pacific, a publicly held company, reported its sixth straight quarterly loss.....\$216 million in the first quarter of 2008 compared to \$40.8 million in the year earlier quarter. In a regulatory filing, Standard Pacific said a sale of the company was among six options it was considering, including a merger or sales of non-core assets.

This is ominous news for United residents who anxiously await the fate of the four story building, irreverently referred to as the “Big Eyesore”. Even more threatening is information provided in The Laguna Woods Village website which says that *“The city (of Laguna Woods) has control over the 15 affordable (low income) units, but no control over the rental of the remaining (119) condominiums. If one buyer comes in and purchases the remaining units and then rents them all out, the intent is to have the property remain an age-restricted luxury senior community...”*

It is not clear what “control” the City has over the 15 low income units but it is evident that the City has no control over the remaining 119 units. Reading between the lines in the LWV website it